The syntax of the Korean -ese construction

In Korean, two clauses may be linked using the verbal suffix *-ese*, as in (1). This morpheme establishes a sequential or causal link between the embedded *-ese* marked constituent and the matrix clause thus indicating that the event of the *-ese* construction occurs prior to or causes the event of the matrix clause (H. Lee 1991; H. Kim 1992; Sohn 2009; Hong 2012; Kwon 2012; among others).

(1) $cihwun_{i-}i-nun$ [Δ_i tochakha-ese] palpyo-lul sicakha-ess-eyo Jihun-i-TOP arrive-ese presentation-ACC start-PST-POL 'Jihun; arrived and then he_i started the presentation.'

The literature has mainly focused on the semantics of the *-ese* construction (Kwon 2012, Y. Park et al. 2021; Yoo 2021; among others). However, my work focuses on determining the syntactic structure of the *-ese* constituent. Firstly: what is the maximum projection of the constituent that *-ese* attaches to? Is it simply a vP/VP with no higher projections – as it appears in (1) with *-ese* directly affixed to the verb stem, is it a full CP, or something in between? I demonstrate that *-ese* may co-occur with tense, aspect, or modal morphology, as in (2). This contrasts with Gerd & Shin (2018)'s claim that that *-ese* cannot combine with TAM morphology. I argue that the occurrence of TAM morphology indicates that the maximal projection within the *-ese* construction can be at least as large as ModP (a modal phrase) – i.e., larger than a TP but smaller than a CP.

(2) chinkwu-dul-i mence twochakha-ess-esse anc-ul cali-lul chac-ass-eyo friend-PL-NOM first arrive-PERF-PST-ese sit-IRR place-ACC find-PST-POL '(My) friends, having arrived first (a while ago), found a place to sit.'

My work also investigates the subject of the *-ese* construction. In light of θ -theory which posits that each verb predicate requires a DP subject (Chomsky 1981), I assume that there is an implicit subject in the *-ese* construction in (1) (indicated by the theory-neutral symbol Δ). Given that, what is the nature of the implicit subject in (1)? Specifically, is the implicit subject a null PRO like in a canonical control structure (Landau 1994; Green 2019) or a phonologically null pronoun (*pro*) (Sundaresan 2014)? I show that the null subject of the *-ese* construction does not behave like PRO. In contrast to the requirements of PRO (Chomsky 1980; Landau 1994; Green 2019), the antecedent of the null subject is not required to be in a local relationship with Δ nor is it required to c-command Δ , as in (3). Thus, I argue that the null subject of the *-ese* construction is actually *pro*.

(3) a. $kyoswunim_{k-i}$ tochakha-si-ess-eyo professor-NOM arrive-HON-PST-POL 'The professor arrived.' b. ?mwun_i-un/-i kyosil-ey tuleka-si-ese] $\Delta_{i^*/k}$ ppalli nemwu door-TOP/NOM classroom-LOC quickly enter-HON-ese very kocangna-ass-eyo be.broken-PST-POL

'The door broke because they (the professor) entered the classroom too quickly'

This work provides a novel investigation into the morphological and syntactic structure of the *-ese* construction and provides data showing the morphological complexity of the *-ese* construction that is, to the best of my knowledge, missing from the literature. Lastly, it presents a preliminary investigation into the behaviour of subjects in the *-ese* construction.

References

- Chomsky, Noam. (1980). On Binding. Linguistic Inquiry, 11(1), 1-46.
- Chomsky, Noam. (1981). Lectures on Government and Binding. Foris, Dordrecht.
- Gerd, Jendraschek, & Shin, Yongmin. (2018). Korean converbs between coordination and subordination, *Linguistics*, 56(5), 1099-1139.
- **Green, Jefferey J. (2019).** A movement theory of adjunct control. *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics, 4*(1), 1-34.
- **Hong, Jisup. (2012).** Connective polysemy and clause linkage typology in Korean. Doctoral Dissertation [University of California, Berkeley].
- **Kang, Myung-Yoon.** (1988). *Topics in Korean syntax: Phrase structure, variable binding and movement.* Doctoral Dissertation [MIT].
- **Kwon**, **Iksoo**. (2012). Forward causality in basic communicative spaces networks: The *-ese* and *-nikka* constructions in Korean. *Discourse and Cognition 19*(1), 1-27.
- Landau, Idan. (1994). Elements of Control. Doctoral Dissertation [MIT].
- Lee, Hyo Sang. (1991). Tense, aspect, and modality: Discourse-pragmatic analysis of verbal affixes in Korean from a typological perspective. Doctoral Dissertation [University of California, Los Angeles]
- **Park, Jong Un. (2011).** Clause structure and null subjects: Referential dependencies in Korean. Doctoral Dissertation [Georgetown University].
- Park, Yugyeong, Lim, Dongsik, & Hoe, Semoon. (2021). Syntactic structures of two types of causation in Korean: Opaque vs. transparent. *Korean Journal of Linguistics*, 46(3), 717-746.
- **Sohn, Ho-min (2009).** The semantics of clause linking in Korean. In R. M. W. Dixon, & A. Y. Aikhenvald (eds.), *The Semantics of clause linking: A cross-linguistic typology.* Oxford University Press.
- **Sundaresan, Sandhya. (2014).** Making sense of silence: finiteness and the (OC) PRO vs. *pro* distinction, Commentary on Kissock (2013). *Natural Language Linguist Theory 32*(1), 59-85.
- **Yoo, Eunson.** (2021). Korean causal markers *-ese* and *-nikka* in clause-initial and final positions in relation to the sequence of Mandarin Chinese *yinwei*. *Language and Linguistics*, 22(4), 661-689.