The Voice/v distinction is configurational: Evidence from Georgian causatives

Martha McGinnis, University of Victoria

Georgian causatives provide a new source of evidence for Wood and Marantz's (2017) proposal that the syntactic/semantic properties of the functional heads in a verb phrase are largely configurationally determined, rather than lexically specified.

Georgian causatives are marked on the verb, via either a prefix (a-), a suffix (-in), or both. Unlike English root-selecting ("lexical") causatives (Pylkkänen 2008), Georgian causatives can combine with either intransitive or transitive verbs. There is evidence that they combine with a vP, not merely a projection of a category-neutral root. For example, the causative suffix -in follows a root-conditioned thematic suffix that appears only on verbs. Moreover, both the causing event and the caused event can be modified by vP-adverbs.

Nevertheless, Georgian causatives demonstrate a set of surprising syntactic restrictions. These can be captured on three assumptions. First, transitive verbs involve two vP projections, while intransitive verbs generally involve one. Secondly, a causative functions as a v head when it combines with a single vP, as in an intransitive clause, but as a Voice head when it combines with a vP above vP, as in a transitive clause. In other words, v and Voice are lexically identical (what Wood and Marantz call i*); their syntactic functions depend on their position in the clausal spine. Thirdly, certain heads can merge outside vP, but not outside VoiceP.

This analysis accounts for the distribution of Georgian causatives in nominalizations (*masdars*). While both intransitive and transitive verbs can appear in the masdar, speakers generally reject masdars like (1), which are based on a causative of a transitive verb. On the other hand, masdars can be based on a causative of an intransitive verb. This distinction can be captured if the masdar-forming head selects *v*P, but not VoiceP.

(1) * Ceril-is gamokveqnebineba letter-GEN publish.CAUS.N 'the causing of the letter to be published'

Another restriction occurs in multiple causatives. While Georgian allows multiple causatives (2), it does so only when the embedded verb root is intransitive, like *c'ven-'* lie' (Comrie 1976, Harris 1981, cf. Burgess 1995). By contrast, Georgian speakers generally reject multiple causatives based on transitive verbs, like *Cer-'* write' (3). Again, the restriction is correctly predicted if the Georgian causative head selects *vP*, but not VoiceP.

- (2) bebia mamas ac'veninebs gelas t'axt'ze. grandmother.NOM father.DAT lie.CAUS.CAUS Gela.ACC couch.on 'The grandmother makes the father let Gela lie on the couch.'
- (3) * upros-ma daaCerinebina Ceril-i.
 boss-ERG write.CAUS.CAUS.AOR.3sg letter-NOM
 'The boss made [someone have [someone write a letter]].'

Finally, while periphrastic passives in Georgian can be based on causatives, they cannot be based on causatives of transitives (4) (Harris 1981). Again, this suggests that the Georgian passive can combine with a transitive νP , but not with a VoiceP.

(4) * Ceril-i iqo daCerinebuli. letter.NOM be.AOR.3sg write.CAUS.PERF 'The letter was caused to be written.'

I will show that the proposed analysis also accounts for restrictions on Japanese multiple causatives (Harley 2008) and on idioms in causatives and passives (Marantz 1997).

References

- Burgess, Cliff. 1995. Mapping Multiple Causatives. MA Thesis, Simon Fraser University.
- Comrie, Bernard. 1976. The Syntax of Causative Constructions: Cross-Language Similarities and Divergences.' In *The Grammar of Causative Constructions [Syntax and Semantics 6]*, ed. by Masayoshi Shibatani, 261–312. New York: Academic Press.
- Harris, Alice C. 1981. *Georgian Syntax: A Study in Relational Grammar*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Harley, Heidi. 2008. On the causative construction. *The Oxford Handbook of Japanese Linguistics*, ed. Shigeru Miyagawa. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Marantz, Alec. 1997. No escape from syntax: Don't try morphological analysis in the privacy of your own lexicon. *Penn Working Papers in Linguistics 4: Proceedings of the 21st Annual Penn Linguistics Colloquium*, ed. Alexis Dimitriadis, Laura Siegel, Clarissa Surek-Clark, and Alexander Williams, 201–225. Penn Linguistics Club, University of Pennsylvania.
- Pylkkänen, Liina. 2008. Introducing arguments. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wood, Jim and Alec Marantz. 2017. The interpretation of external arguments. Roberta D'Alessandro, Irene Franco and Ángel J. Gallego, eds., *The Verbal Domain*, 255–278. Oxford University Press.