

# A NON-OT CONSPIRACY IN ICELANDIC\*

Margaret Stong-Jensen  
Independent Scholar

## 1. Introduction

Groups of phonological processes that function to avoid surface occurrences of a certain phonological structure, such as vowel hiatus, have been called conspiracies (Kisseberth 1970). Calabrese (2005, 22) describes conspiracies as follows: “In the case of a conspiracy, a variety of different phonological processes have in common the avoidance of a given configuration.” Optimality Theory (OT) accounts for conspiracies using ranked universal constraints that select an optimal candidate out of a possibly infinite number of possible candidates. The configuration to be avoided is represented by a “driver” constraint, which is a markedness constraint ranked over faithfulness constraints ( $M \gg F1 \gg F2$ , where  $M$  is a markedness constraint and  $F$  is a faithfulness constraint) (McCarthy 2002, 95). Using a derivational approach, Calabrese (2005) proposes constraints—universal or language specific—that identify the avoided configuration, and that trigger repair strategies, which are selected on a language-specific basis.

I will examine several phonological processes in Icelandic in which aspirated stops are either the target or a crucial part of the context. The input configuration that these processes share, and that each process alters, either by changing the consonant or by lengthening the vowel, is the configuration in (1).

(1)  $\check{V}C^h$  (in the syllable rhyme)

In the light of Calabrese’s definition, we can say that these processes conspire to avoid the configuration in (1). I will show difficulties with Ringen’s (1999) OT analysis and suggest that a Calabrese-style constraint-and-repair analysis may be able to formalize this “conspiracy” in a unitary way (cf. Kiparsky (1973)).

## 2. Aspiration in Icelandic

This study will focus on intervocalic and word-final stop-consonant clusters in Icelandic.<sup>1</sup> The underlying consonant inventory of Icelandic is given in (2). Corresponding orthographic symbols are in parentheses in italics and will be referred to as such in the text.

---

\* I would like to thank my Icelandic informants, Ari Páll Kristinsson, Eiríkur Rögnvaldsson, and especially Kristín M. Jóhannsdóttir for her patient replies to my many questions that helped me focus this project.

<sup>1</sup> I am not considering here monosyllabic words ending in a single consonant, such as *bað* [pa:ð] ‘bath’ (*baðs* [paðs] ‘gen.sg.’), which have a long vowel conditioned by stress and extrametricality.

- (2) Underlying consonant inventory of Icelandic (adapted from Gibson 1997)<sup>2</sup>

	Labial	Coronal	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
Aspirated voiceless stops	p <sup>h</sup> ( <i>p</i> )	t <sup>h</sup> ( <i>t</i> )		k <sup>h</sup> ( <i>k</i> )	
Unaspirated voiceless stops	p ( <i>b</i> )	t ( <i>d</i> )		k ( <i>g</i> )	
Voiceless fricatives	f ( <i>f</i> )	θ ( <i>þ</i> ), s ( <i>s</i> )			h ( <i>h</i> )
Voiced fricatives	v ( <i>v</i> )	ð ( <i>ð</i> )			
Nasals	m ( <i>m</i> )	n ( <i>n</i> )			
Liquids		l ( <i>l</i> ), r ( <i>r</i> )			
Glides	w ( <i>v</i> )		j ( <i>j</i> )		

Aspiration or [spread glottis] ([SG]) is distinctive and underlying in Icelandic. Stops in Icelandic contrast for spread glottis; stops are uniformly voiceless (3).

- (3)
- |    |        |                        |                   |
|----|--------|------------------------|-------------------|
| a. | panna  | [p <sup>h</sup> an:a]  | ‘pan’             |
|    | banna  | [pan:a]                | ‘forbid’          |
| b. | tala   | [t <sup>h</sup> a:la]  | ‘speak’           |
|    | dala   | [ta:la]                | ‘valley (gen pl)’ |
| c. | kaldur | [k <sup>h</sup> altʏr] | ‘cold’            |
|    | galdur | [kaltʏr]               | ‘magic’           |

Word-initial stops are aspirated in all dialects. Non-word-initial stops are deaspirated in the Southern dialect, but not in the Northern dialect, as in (4). I assume stops are underlyingly aspirated in both dialects. Hereafter, for the sake of clarity, I will cite phonetic representations from the Northern dialect.

- (4)
- |    |      | Southern | Northern              |            |
|----|------|----------|-----------------------|------------|
| a. | api  | [a:pt]   | [a:p <sup>h</sup> t]  | ‘ape’      |
| b. | hata | [ha:ta]  | [ha:t <sup>h</sup> a] | ‘to hate’  |
| c. | loka | [lɔ:kɑ]  | [lɔ:k <sup>h</sup> ɑ] | ‘to close’ |

Stops are aspirated in syllable onsets, word-initially (5a) and word-medially (5b), except after voiceless consonants (5c).

- (5)
- |    |       |                         |              |
|----|-------|-------------------------|--------------|
| a. | prófa | [p <sup>h</sup> rou:va] | ‘to examine’ |
|    | trú   | [t <sup>h</sup> ru:]    | ‘belief’     |
| b. | apríl | [a:p <sup>h</sup> ril]  | ‘April’      |
|    | akrar | [a:k <sup>h</sup> rar]  | ‘fields’     |
| c. | spara | [spɑ:ra]                | ‘to save’    |

<sup>2</sup> I have condensed the points of articulation used by Gibson to five, and eliminated the velar fricatives [x] and [χ], which are predictable. I assume that the voiceless palatal fricative [ç] is also derived. Note that phonetic [w] in hvað [hva:ð] ‘what’ (dialectal) is spelled with *v*.

Vowel length, which is predictable, and dependent on stress, is the diagnostic for syllable divisions. Primary stress is on the first syllable of a word; secondary stress is on alternate syllables. A primary stressed syllable is heavy and maximally bimoraic (the second vocalic mora cannot branch). Vowels are long in a stressed open syllable, and short in a closed syllable. A stressed syllable may be VV, VC or VCC, but not VVC. Final consonants are extrametrical, so that monosyllables ending in a single consonant have a long vowel, in the shape (C)VVC. Syllable divisions (marked by a period) are given in (6) and (7).

- (6) a. (C)V: {p,t,k,s} {v,j,r}  
 b. nepja [nɛ:.p<sup>h</sup>ja] ‘coldness’  
 vitja [vɪ:.t<sup>h</sup>ja] ‘to visit’  
 vökva [vœ:.k<sup>h</sup>va] ‘to water’  
 flysja [flu:.sja] ‘to peel’
- (7) a. (C)VC(C).CV(C) (where CC is not a sequence specified in (6a))  
 b. elda [ɛl.ta] ‘to cook’  
 belja [pɛl.ja] ‘to bellow’  
 hylmdi [hulm.tu] ‘concealed’ (hylma ‘to conceal’ (Côté 2004))

### 3. Preaspiration and Spirantization

#### 3.1 Preaspiration

Preaspiration applies to an aspirated stop in the coda followed by an identical aspirated stop. Examples (from Þráinsson 1978) are given in (8), for non-derived and derived forms.

- (8) a. Non-derived forms  
 hatt [haht] / hat<sup>h</sup>t<sup>h</sup>/ ‘hat’ (acc.sg.)  
 þakka [θakka] / θak<sup>h</sup>k<sup>h</sup>a/ ‘thank’
- b. Derived forms  
 feit [fei:t<sup>h</sup>] ‘fem. sg.’ feitt [feiht] /feit<sup>h</sup> + t<sup>h</sup>/ ‘neut. sg.’ ‘fat’  
 mæta [mai:t<sup>h</sup>a] ‘inf’ mætti [maihtu] /mæt<sup>h</sup>+t<sup>h</sup>+u/ ‘past 3 sg.’ ‘meet’

Geminate stops are not ruled out per se, as shown by the long unaspirated stops in (9), which derive from unaspirated geminate stops.

- (9) a. kobbi [k<sup>h</sup>ɔp:t] ‘young seal’  
 b. haddur [hat:ɣr] ‘hair (poetic)’  
 c. bagga [pak:a] ‘pack (oblique)’

Preaspiration also applies to aspirated stops preceding *l*, *m*, or *n*. Recall from (6) that aspirated stops followed by the other sonorants—*r*, *j*, or *v* (from /w<sup>3</sup>)—are part of the syllable onset. Examples are in (10), from Þráinsson (1978).

<sup>3</sup> The possibility that the word-internal *v* in *vökva* is derived from an underlying *w* is explored further in Gibson (1997).

- (10) a. Non-derived forms  
 epli [ɛhplɪ] /ɛp<sup>h</sup>lɪ/ ‘apple’      opna [ɔhpna] /ɔp<sup>h</sup>na/ ‘open’  
 ekla [ɛhkla] /ɛk<sup>h</sup>la/ ‘scarcity’      vakna [vahkna] /vak<sup>h</sup>na/ ‘awaken’  
 rytmi [ruhtmi] /rɪ<sup>h</sup>mi/ ‘rhythm’ (Rögnvaldsson 1986, 26)
- b. Derived forms  
 pípa [p<sup>h</sup>i:p<sup>h</sup>a] ‘fem.sg.’      pípna [p<sup>h</sup>i:hpna] /p<sup>h</sup>ip<sup>h</sup>+na/ gen.pl. ‘pipe’  
 gata [ka:t<sup>h</sup>a] ‘fem.sg.’      gatna [kahtna] /kat<sup>h</sup>+na/ gen.pl. ‘street’  
 depill [tɛ:p<sup>h</sup>ɪtl̩] ‘m.sg.’      deplar [tɛhplar] /tɛp<sup>h</sup>ɪl+ar/ ‘nom.pl.’ ‘dot’  
 jökull [jœk<sup>h</sup>ʏtl̩] ‘m.sg.’      jöklar [jœhklar] /jœk<sup>h</sup>ʏl+ar/ ‘pl.’ ‘glacier’

Preaspiration is represented schematically in (11).<sup>4</sup>

- (11) Preaspiration (Obligatory) (Syllable divisions are marked by a period.)
- a. input:  $\check{V}C_i^h.C_j^h$       ( $C_i^h = C_j^h$ ) ( $C_{i,j}^h = \{p^h, t^h, k^h\}$ )  
 output:  $Vh.C_j$
- b. input:  $\check{V}C_i^h.\{l, m, n\}$       ( $C_i^h = \{p^h, t^h, k^h\}$ )  
 output:  $VhC_i.\{l, m, n\}$

### 3.2 Spirantization

Spirantization applies to aspirated *p* and *k* preceding a non-identical aspirated stop.<sup>5</sup> Examples are in (12).

- (12) a. Non-derived forms  
 snökta [snœxta] ‘to sob’ (snökt ‘sob’)  
 september [seftemper] ‘September’
- b. Derived forms  
 vaka [va:k<sup>h</sup>a] ‘inf.’      vakti [vaxtu] (past)      ‘be awake’  
 tæpur [t<sup>h</sup>ai:p<sup>h</sup>ʏr] (adj.m.)      tæpt [t<sup>h</sup>aift] (neut)      ‘uncertain’  
 dýpka [tɪfka] ‘deepen’ (djúp + ka) (djúpur [tju:p<sup>h</sup>ʏr] ‘deep’)  
 (cf. blíðka [pliðk<sup>h</sup>a] ‘soften’ (blíð ‘mild’ + -ka)

Spirantization is represented schematically in (13). Notice the input set  $C_i^h$  does not include *t*. This will be referred to later.

- (13) Spirantization (Obligatory) (Syllable divisions are marked by a period.)  
 input:  $\check{V}C_i^h.C_j^h$       ( $C_i^h \neq C_j^h$ ) ( $C_i^h = \{p^h, k^h\}$ )  
 output:  $\check{V}F.C_j$       (If  $C_i^h = p^h$ ,  $F = [f]$ ; If  $C_i^h = k^h$ ,  $F = [x]$ )

Preaspiration (11) and Spirantization (13) share the input configuration in (14).

<sup>4</sup> The schematic representations I give of the processes discussed here are intended for descriptive purposes only. They are not intended as formal rule statements.

<sup>5</sup> Unaspirated stops are also spirantized preceding a stop (*tryggja* [t<sup>h</sup>rukt] ‘ensure (past)’, *tryggja* [t<sup>h</sup>ruk<sub>j</sub>a] ‘inf.’). Sonorants devoice before /t<sup>h</sup>/ ‘past’ and /t<sup>h</sup>/ ‘neut’: *mælti* [mæɪlti] (mæɪ+t<sup>h</sup>+ɪ) ‘spoke’ ([mai:la] ‘inf.’); cf. *dæmdi* [tæimti] (tæm+t+ɪ) ‘judged’.

(14)  $\check{V}C^h$  (in syllable rhyme)

In each case,  $C_i^h$  is altered in the output so that it is no longer aspirated: in (11a), it becomes [h]<sup>6</sup>; in (11b), it becomes [hC<sub>i</sub>]; in (13) it becomes the homorganic fricative.

#### 4. Aspirated Stops Before *s*

##### 4.1 Uninflected forms

The sibilant *s* is the only fricative that occurs in aspirated stop-fricative sequences.<sup>7</sup> In uninflected forms, *p* and *k* are optionally spirantized to the corresponding homorganic fricative (15).<sup>8</sup> The stops are unaspirated before *s*.

(15) Optional spirantization before *-s* (data from Kristinsson 1982)

- a. Nonderived forms  
 lax ‘salmon’ [laxs] [laks]  
 öxull ‘axis’ [œxsvtʃ] [œksvtʃ]
- b. Derived forms (*-si* ‘nominalizer; *-stur* ‘superlative’)  
 stráksi ‘chap’ (strák + si) [strauxsɪ] [strauksɪ] (strákur ‘boy’)  
 mýkstur ‘softest’ (mýk + stur) [mixstʏr] [mikstʏr] (APK)  
 (mjúkur [mju:k<sup>h</sup>ʏr] ‘soft’)  
 dýpstur ‘deepest’ (dýp + stur) [tifstʏr] [tipstʏr] (APK)  
 (djúpur [tju:p<sup>h</sup>ʏr] ‘deep’)

##### 4.2 Forms Inflected with Possessive *-s*

Strong masculine and neuter nouns and adjectives ending in *p* or *k* optionally spirantize before the genitive singular ending *-s*. In addition, the stem vowel can lengthen before an unaspirated stop, as in the paradigm in (16).

- (16) Long vowel before genitive *-s* (from Kristinsson 1982, 34)
- a. laks (lak + s) /lak<sup>h</sup>+s/ (gen.sg.) [laxs] \*[laks] [la:ks]  
 b. lags (lag + s) /lak+s/ (gen.sg.) [laxs] [laks] \*[la:ks]  
 c. lak [la:k] /lak<sup>h</sup>/ ‘bedsheet’ (southern dialect)  
 d. lag [la:ɣ] /lak/ ‘layer’

The stem in (16a) ends in an aspirated velar stop; the stem in (16b) ends in an unaspirated velar obstruent. For both *laks* and *lags*, the stop optionally spirantizes before *-s*. However, for *laks*, the vowel is obligatorily long in this

<sup>6</sup> No theoretical claim is intended here. Analyses differ in how they treat the input-output relation in (11a); my description is close to that proposed by Þráinsson (1978).

<sup>7</sup> The preterite/past participle ending is [ð] after vowels and voiced fricatives (*sagði* [sɑyðɪ] ‘said (3 sg)’), but [t] after aspirated stops (*vakti* [vaxtɪ] ‘be awake (3 sg)’).

<sup>8</sup> The use of the stop rather than the fricative in uninflected forms is a new development, found mainly among younger age groups (Gíslason and Þráinsson 2000: 185-6).

dialect if the stop is not spirantized. The vowel cannot be long in this context in *lags*, suggesting that it is the aspirated *k* in *laks* that is responsible for the long vowel, since *laks* and *lags* differ only in the presence or absence of aspiration on the final stop in underlying representation. Note that *laks* and *lags* have the same morphological structure: [[lak]s]; [[lag]s]. Hence it cannot be the morphological boundary in *laks* that is responsible for the vowel length, (as suggested by Rögnvaldsson (1986, 36)). A schematic derivation is in (17).

- (17) a. underlying: CVC<sup>h</sup>s]<sub>σ</sub> (/lak<sup>h</sup> + s/)  
 b. intermediate stage: CV:C<sup>h</sup>s]<sub>σ</sub> (/la:k<sup>h</sup> s/)  
 c. phonetic form: CV:C<sub>s</sub>]<sub>σ</sub> [la:ks] (deaspiration before [s])

Some dialects allow a short vowel preceding a deaspirated stop in the possessive: [laks]. This gives three variants for -s possessives with stems ending in *p* or *k*, as in (18a, b) (examples from Gíslason and Þráinsson 2000: 80). Gíslason and Þráinsson (2000: 185) also cite (18c), with a long vowel and an aspirated stop, from the northern dialect.

- (18) a. skips [sk<sub>j</sub>tfs] [sk<sub>j</sub>t:ps] [sk<sub>j</sub>tps] ‘ship (gen.sg.)’  
 b. þaks [θaxs] [θa:ks] [θaks] ‘roof (gen.sg.)’  
 c. þaks [θa:k<sup>h</sup>s] (northern dialect)

Masculine and neuter stems ending in *-t* optionally allow a long vowel before possessive *-s*. However, spirantization does not apply to *t*.<sup>9</sup> The noun *vit* ‘intelligence’ has the variants in (19).

- (19) vits (vit + s) ‘intelligence (gen.sg.)’ [vɪ:ts] [vɪts] \*[vɪθs]

Lengthening of the vowel before C<sup>h</sup>s applies only in the possessive. For the forms in (20), a long vowel is not possible (cf. (15)).

- (20) a. lax ‘salmon’ [laxs] [laks] \*[la:ks] (Kristinsson 1982)  
 öxull ‘axis’ [œxsvt̪] [œksvt̪] \*[œ:ksvt̪] (Kristinsson 1982)  
 b. stráksi ‘chap’ [strauxsɪ] [strauksɪ] \*[strau:ksɪ] (APK)  
 mýkstur ‘softest’ [mixstʏr] [mikstʏr] \*[mi:kstʏr] (APK)  
 dýpstur ‘deepest’ [tifstʏr] [tipstʏr] \*[ti:pstʏr] (APK)

Schematic representations of the processes discussed in this section are in (21) and (22).

<sup>9</sup> The failure of *t* to spirantize before *s* may be due to a constraint against the sequence [θs]; compare *baðs* ‘bath, gen.sg.’ [paðs], \*[paθs]. In *báts* [pau:s] (/paut<sup>h</sup> + s/), genitive singular of *bátur* [pau:t<sup>h</sup>ʏr] ‘boat’, the *t* of the stem totally assimilates to *s*. I consider this a process different from the spirantization before *s* being discussed in this section. The assimilation in *báts* is total rather than partial, as in (18). Furthermore, the total assimilation of *t* to *s* in possessives is limited to frequent lexemes (Gíslason and Þráinsson 2000: 85). For *báts*, three variants are possible: [pau:ts], [pauts], [pau:s] (Ármason 1980: 233).

- (21) Spirantization before *s* (Optional)  
input:  $\check{V} C_i^h(.)s$  ( $C_i^h = p^h, k^h$ )  
output:  $\check{V} F(.)s$  (If  $C_i^h = p^h$ ,  $F = [f]$ ; If  $C_i^h = k^h$ ,  $F = [x]$ )
- (22) Vowel lengthening before  $-s$  (genitive sg) (Optional)  
input:  $\check{V} C_i^h + s$  ( $C_i^h = p^h, t^h, k^h$ ) ( $-s$  ‘genitive sg’)  
output:  $V: C_i^h + s$

The configuration common to the input in (21) and (22), as well as (11) and (13), is  $\check{V}C^h$  (in the syllable rhyme). The outputs are altered so that in (21), there is no longer an aspirated stop following the short vowel, and in (22), there is an aspirated stop, but it no longer follows a short vowel, since the vowel is long. The output in (22) gives the northern pronunciation in (18c). Deaspiration of a stop preceding *s* and following a short vowel may also be a general process.<sup>10</sup>

## 5. tk Clusters

Clusters of  $tC^h$  are limited to *tk*; *t* does not occur in clusters before *p*. Clusters of *tk* occur in a small set of words, such as those in (23).<sup>11</sup>

- (23) Words with  $-tk-$  clusters ( $-k$  ‘inchoative’,  $-a$  ‘infinitive’)
- notkun ‘use (m.sg.)’ (not- ‘use’ + *k* +un ‘nominalizer’)
  - notka ‘to use’ (not- ‘use’ + *k* + a)
  - litka ‘to colour’ (lit ‘colour’ + *k* + a)
  - vitkast ‘become sensible’ (vit ‘sense’ + *k* + a +st ‘reflexive’)
  - flýtka ‘to speed up’ (flýt- + *k* + a) (cf. flýta ‘speed up’)
  - ljótka ‘to become ugly’ (ljót ‘ugly’ + *k* + a)

Recall that Preaspiration does not apply to *tk*, and Spirantization does not apply to *t*. Taking (23a) as an example, *notkun* has the variant pronunciations in (24).

- (24) *notkun* — five pronunciations
- [nɔ:tkʏn] (ER, APK) (vowel lengthening, southern standard)
  - [nɔhtkʏn] (Rögnvaldsson 1984, 4) (preaspiration)
  - [nɔθkʏn] (Bérkov 1962) (spirantization)
  - [nɔtkʏn]<sup>12</sup> (deaspiration)
  - [nɔtk<sup>h</sup>ʏn] (KMJ) (despiration), (northern)

We could say that Preaspiration and Spirantization apply to *t* in (24b, c) by analogy to the normal cases covered in section 3. However, the vowel

<sup>10</sup> KMJ reports *skaps*, the possessive of *skap* [ska:p<sup>h</sup>] ‘mood’ as [skaps], and *leiks*, the possessive of *leikur* [lei:k<sup>h</sup>ʏr] ‘game’, as [leiks], both with a short vowel and unaspirated stop. KMJ has the northern dialect.

<sup>11</sup> Not all of these words are in common use. Those that are appear to include *notkun*, *litka*, and *vitkast*.

<sup>12</sup> This variant is probably more common in fast speech than in normal speech (APK). APK also reports having heard (24c), the spirantized variant.

lengthening in (24a) is not similarly relatable to other processes. Nor does it occur with other derivatives in *-ka* and *-kun*, as in (25).

- (25) a. dýpka [tɪfka] \*[ti:fkɑ] ‘deepen’ (djúp + ka)  
 b. dýpkun [tɪfkʏn] \*[ti:fkʏn] ‘deepening’  
 b. grænka [kraiŋk<sup>h</sup>ɑ] \*[krai:ŋk<sup>h</sup>ɑ] ‘become green’ (græn ‘green’)  
 c. blíðka [pliðk<sup>h</sup>ɑ] \*[pli:ðk<sup>h</sup>ɑ] ‘soften’ (blíð ‘mild’ nom sg f)  
 d. blíðkun [pliðk<sup>h</sup>ʏn] \*[pli:ðk<sup>h</sup>ʏn] ‘softening’

The processes in (24) are represented schematically in (26).

- (26) a. t+k clusters: vowel lengthening  
 input:  $\check{V} t^h . + k^h \dots$   
 output:  $V: t^h . + k^h \dots$
- b. t+k clusters: Preaspiration  
 input:  $\check{V} t^h . + k^h \dots$   
 output:  $\check{V} ht . + k^h \dots$
- c. t+k clusters: Spirantization  
 input:  $\check{V} t^h . + k^h \dots$   
 output:  $\check{V} \theta . + k^h \dots$
- d. t+k clusters: Deaspiration  
 input:  $\check{V} t^h . + k^h \dots$   
 output:  $\check{V} t . + k^h \dots$

The input configuration that (26) shares with (11), (13), (21), and (22) is  $\check{V}C^h$  (in the syllable rhyme). The repairs, including the vowel lengthening, serve to alter the input so that an aspirated stop does not follow a short vowel in the output.

## 6. An OT Analysis

### 6.1 Vowel Length

Ringen (1999) proposes an OT analysis of Preaspiration (11) and Spirantization (13), using the highly ranked “driver” constraint (27a), which is ranked over relevant faithfulness constraints (27b).

- (27) a. \* $\mu\text{ptk}[\text{sg}]$  Obstruent stops that are [spread glottis] may not be moraic. (Ringen 1999)
- b. \* $\mu\text{ptk}[\text{sg}] \gg \text{ID-IOobs}[\text{sg}] \gg \text{ID-IO(f)}$  (Ringen 1999)

The constraint (27a) rules out  $C^h$  (in the rhyme) following  $\check{V}$ , since syllables are maximally bimoraic in Icelandic. However, as we have seen, moraic  $C^h$  can also be avoided by lengthening the vowel, resulting in a bimoraic vowel, as in (16a) and (24a). To see if Ringen’s OT analysis can account for this option, we need

to look at Benua's (1995) OT treatment of vowel length in Icelandic, which Ringen assumes.

Benua (1995, 94) assumes the constraints in (28a-c), ranked in (28d).

- (28) a. Stress-to-Weight ( $S \rightarrow W$ ) "If stressed, then heavy."  
 b. No-Long-V (\*VV) "no long vowels"  
 c. Ident-IO(v-length)  
 d. ( $S \rightarrow W$ ) >> (\*VV) >> Ident-IO(v-length)

She also assumes the undominated constraints in (29).

- (29) a. Word-initial syllable gets primary stress.  
 b. Weight by Position (a coda consonant is moraic)

These constraints interact as in the tableau in (30), based on Benua (1995, 95).

(30) ham.ra 'to hammer'

Input	Output	$S \rightarrow W$	*VV	ID-IO(v-length)
/ham.ra/	☞ a. ham.ra			
	b. haam.raa		**!	**
	c. haam.ra		*!	*
/haam.raa/	☞ a. ham.ra			**
	b. haam.raa		**!	
	c. haam.ra		*!	*

In (30), the initial stressed syllable is heavy in all candidates, satisfying  $S \rightarrow W$ . The decision falls to the next constraint in the hierarchy, \*VV, which ensures that a closed syllable with a long vowel is not optimal when there is a closed syllable available that has a short vowel. Thus, the (b) and (c) candidates with long vowels are rejected in favour of the (a) candidates.

In (32) and (33), I apply Benua's model to the long-vowel pronunciations of *notkun* (24a) and *laks* (16a).<sup>13</sup> The constraint ranking is in (31).

- (31) ( $S \rightarrow W$ ) >> (\*VV), \*μptk[sg] >> ID-IOobs[sg]

(32) *notkun* [nɔːtkʏn] 'use' ([ɔɔ] = long [ɔ])

Input	Output	$S \rightarrow W$	*VV	*μptk[sg]	ID-IOobs[sg]
/nɔt <sup>h</sup> kʏn/	a. nɔt <sup>h</sup> kʏn			*!	*
	☛ b. nɔtkʏn				**
	c. nɔɔt <sup>h</sup> kʏn		*!		*
	☹ d. nɔɔtkʏn		*!		**

<sup>13</sup> I am following Ringen (1999) in assuming that Weight by Position assigns a mora only to a coda consonant adjacent to a short vowel, so that the syllable is maximally bimoraic.

(33) *laks* [la:ks] ‘bedsheet (gen.sg.)’ ([aa] = long [a])

Input	Output	S → W	*VV	*μptk[sg]	ID-IOobs[sg]
/lak <sup>h</sup> +s/	a. lak <sup>h</sup> s			*!	
	☛ b. laks				*
	c. laak <sup>h</sup> s		*!		
	☹ d. laaks		*!		*

In (32) and (33), only the short-vowel variants in (b) are optimal. The desired long-vowel variants in (d) are ruled out by high-ranked \*VV. Recall that VVC syllables are not normally allowed except in monosyllables, where the final C is extrametrical (cf. *tal* [t<sup>h</sup>ɑ:l] ‘speech’; *tals* [t<sup>h</sup>als] ‘gen.sg.’).

## 6.2 Morpheme-Specific Constraints

Pater (2006) offers a model in which an exceptional structure is encoded in the underlying form, and a lexically indexed faithfulness constraint ranked over the relevant markedness constraint forces that structure to be kept in the output. I propose the lexically indexed constraint, ranking and underlying forms in (34).

- (34) a. Ident-IO(v-length)-L  
 b. Ident-IO(v-length)-L >> (\*VV) >> Ident-IO(v-length)  
 c. *notkun* /nɔɔ<sup>h</sup><sub>L</sub> + k<sup>h</sup>ɣn/; *lak* /laak<sup>h</sup><sub>L</sub>/,  
 d. *dýpkun* /tip<sup>h</sup> + k<sup>h</sup>ɣn /, *lag* /lak/

The constraint in (34a) is indexed L, and the stems in (34c) are indexed L in underlying representation. The stems in (34d) are unindexed. The tableaux in (35) and (36) show how this works.

(35) *notkun* [nɔ:tkɣn]; *dýpkun* [tifkɣn] ([ɔɔ] = long [ɔ]; [ii] = long [i])

Input	Output	ID-IO(v-length)-L	*VV	ID-IO(v-length)
/nɔɔ <sup>h</sup> <sub>L</sub> + k <sup>h</sup> ɣn/	☛ a. nɔɔtkɣn		*	
	b. nɔtkɣn	*!		*
/tip <sup>h</sup> + k <sup>h</sup> ɣn/	a. tiifkɣn		*!	*
	☛ b. tifkɣn			

(*dýpkun* is phonetically [tifkɣn] by other constraints; [SG] faithfulness constraints are lower ranked)

(36) *laks* [la:ks]; *lags* [laks] ([aa] = long [a])

Input	Output	ID-IO(v-length)-L	*VV	ID-IO(v-length)
/laak <sup>h</sup> <sub>L</sub> + s/	☛ a. laaks		*	
	b. laks	*!		*
/lak+s/	a. laaks		*!	*
	☛ b. laks			

([SG] faithfulness constraints are lower ranked.)

The index L refers to the set of forms in (37a and b), formalized in (38).

- (37) L = (a) a stem ending in *t* followed by a suffix beginning with *k*  
 (b) strong masculine and neuter noun and adjective stems ending in  
 <*p,t,k*> + genitive *-s*

$$(38) L = \{[[\dots t^h] + [k^h \dots]]_{N,V} \wedge [[\dots \{p^h, t^h, k^h\}]_{\{masc, neut\}} + s]\}$$

L correctly restricts exceptions to vowel length. If, for example, a verb stem such as *mæt-* (*mæta* [mai:t<sup>h</sup>a] ‘to meet’) were indexed for L, an incorrect preterite \*[mai:htu] would result, assuming an indexed stem /mæ:t<sub>L</sub>/, instead of the correct [maihtu] *mætti* ‘met’ (*-t* ‘preterite’, *-i* ‘3 sg.’). If, on the other hand, the strong masculine noun *hlutur* [ʎy:t<sup>h</sup>ʎr] ‘thing’, which does not take genitive singular *-s* (*hlutar* ‘gen.sg.’), were indexed for L, the definite dative singular *hlutnum* [ʎyhtnʎm] (*-num* ‘def.dat.sg.’) would incorrectly have a long vowel: \*[ʎy:htnʎm], assuming /ʎy:t<sup>h</sup><sub>L</sub>/.

This approach encounters a descriptive problem with nouns like *skápur* (39a) that have a long vowel in the genitive singular (39b), which justifies a lexically indexed stem with a long vowel (39c), but that have a short stem vowel in the definite dative singular (39d). The indexed stem in (c) incorrectly predicts a long stem vowel in this form, as in (e).

- (39) a. *skápur* [skau:pʎr] ‘cupboard’  
 b. *skáps* [skau:ps] (gen.sg.) (Einarsson 1945)  
 c. /skau:p<sub>L</sub><sup>h</sup>/  
 d. *skápnnum* [skauhpʎnʎm] (*skáp* + *num*) ‘def. dat. sg.’  
 e. \* [skau:hpnʎm]

One solution might be to index *-num* [-L]. Then genitive *-s* will be [+L], meaning every *-s* genitive calls up (34a), and must have a stem with specified underlying vowel length for (34a) to refer to. Some will have a short vowel: *baðs*<sub>+L</sub> ‘bath, gen.sg.’ [paðs], /pað/; some, a long vowel: *skós*<sub>+L</sub> ‘shoe, gen.sg.’ [skou:s], /skou:/ (*skór* ‘shoe’), both contrary to the principle of Richness of the Base (McCarthy 2002, 68–70) observed by Benua in (30).

### 6.3 Rank-ordering model of EVAL (ROE)

Coetzee (2006) proposes the Rank-ordering model of EVAL (ROE), in which variation is accounted for by the rank-ordering imposed on a candidate set, assuming non-optimal candidates are accessible to the speaker. The likelihood that a candidate will be selected correlates with its degree of well-formedness: the more well-formed it is (the more optimal on the basis of the constraint ranking), the more likely it is to be selected as output, and the more frequent it is likely to be. An illustrative tableau is in (40).

(40) Illustration (Coetzee 2006, 339, 341)

/prak/	*Complex	Max	NoCoda
1. pak		*	*
2. pa		**!	
3. prak	*!		*
4. pra	*!	*	

[pak] &gt; [pa] &gt; [prak] &gt; [pra]

decreasing well-formedness; decreasing likelihood of being selected as output

In (40), [pak], the optimal candidate, is predicted to be the most frequent; [pra], the least optimal candidate, will be the least frequent. In (42), I apply this model to the variant pronunciations of *notkun* in (24a-d) (excluding the northern pronunciation in (e)). Constraints from Ringen (1999) are in (41).

(41) Constraints from Ringen (1999)

- Multiple Link [sg] (Multi Link): [spread glottis] must be linked to more than one consonant.
- IdentIO $\mu$  (ID-IO $\mu$ ): Correspondent consonants must have identical numbers of moras in the input and output.
- Dep Root: Do not insert root nodes.

(42) Tableau for *notkun* (constraints and rankings based on Ringen 1999)

/nɔ t + kʏn/	*VV	* $\mu$ ptk[sg]	* $\theta$	ID-IO $\mu$	Multi Link	ID-IOobs [sg]	Dep Root	ID-IO(f)
 a. [nɔ t kʏn]	*!					**		**
 b. [nɔ h t kʏn]				*		*	*	*
 c. [nɔ θ kʏn]			*!	*				*
 d. [nɔ t kʏn]				*		*!*		**

[nɔhtkʏn] &gt; [nɔtkʏn] &gt; [nɔθkʏn] &gt; [nɔ:tkʏn]

Most well-formed  $\longrightarrow$  Least well-formed

The tableau predicts that [nɔ:tkʏn], being the least well-formed, will be the least likely to occur; yet this is the most common pronunciation in the southern dialect. (The relative frequency of the other pronunciations has not been studied, to my knowledge, although (d) is probably the least frequent (cf. footnote 12).)

#### 6.4 Output-Output Correspondance

In Benua's (1997) model of transderivational correspondance, one form in a paradigm may be predicted by analogy from another form in the paradigm. An output-output constraint for vowel length is in (43).

(43) OO-Ident (v-length)-L >> \*VV >> Ident-IO(v-length)

In this model, [nɔ:tkʏn] (not<sub>L</sub> + kun) would be analogized to *not* [nɔ:t] 'use' (nom./acc.pl.), and [la:ks] (lak<sub>L</sub> + s) would be analogized to *lak* [la:k] (acc.sg.). The constraint is restricted to the set L, to avoid analogizing *bliðka* ([pliðk<sup>h</sup>a]) 'to soften' to *blið* [pli:ð] 'mild (nom.sg.f.)' to produce \*[pli:ðk<sup>h</sup>a], or *baðs* ([paðs]) (*bað* + *s*) to *bað* [pa:ð] 'nom/acc sg' to produce \*[pa:ðs].

Nouns like *skápur* (39) pose a problem. The long vowel in *skáps<sub>L</sub>* [skau:ps] (skáp<sub>L</sub> + s) can be analogized to *skáp* [skau:p] 'acc.sg.' But for *skápnúm<sub>L</sub>* [skauhpnym] (skáp<sub>L</sub> + num) 'def. dat. sg.' \*[skau:hpnym], there is no form in the paradigm to which it can be analogized. If *-num* is indexed [-L], then *-s* is [+L], wrongly predicting \*[pa:ðs] for *baðs<sub>+L</sub>* (base *bað* [pa:ð]).

#### 7. A Derivational Proposal

In the OT models I have examined, the set L (37) seems to be arbitrary. If we look at L in the light of the quasi-rules in (11), (13), (21), (22) and (26), we see that L as the context for irregular vowel lengthening is not in fact arbitrary, but fills in gaps left by Preaspiration and Spirantization, which miss some VC<sup>h</sup> structures. Clusters of *tk* are the intersection of gaps left by Preaspiration, which does not apply to non-geminate clusters, and Spirantization, which does not apply to *t*. For *-s* genitives of strong masculines and neuters ending in *p*, *t*, *k*, Spirantization before *s* (21) applies optionally to *p* and *k*, and it does not apply to *t*. There are several ways to resolve *tk* clusters, as in (26), and likewise several ways to resolve *-s* genitives of stems ending in aspirated stops—spirantization (except *t*), vowel lengthening, or deaspiration (18). The effect is that the output conforms to the constraint (27a), stated in moraic terms, or alternatively to the structural constraint in (44).

(44) \*  $\check{V}C^h$  in the syllable rhyme

I assume the rule-based lexical phonology in (45), from Indriðason (1994).

(45) Lexical strata for Icelandic (Indriðason 1994, 37)  
 Stratum 1 – derivation I  
 Stratum 2 – inflection  
 Stratum 3 – definite suffix  
 Stratum 4 – compounding and derivation II

Constraints (44) or (27a) would be ordered at Stratum 3, to trigger Preaspiration in *skápnunum* [skauhpnyɹm], which consists of a stem /skaup<sup>h</sup>/ plus the definite suffix *-num* /nyɹm/. If the constraint were ordered at an earlier stratum, the aspiration on the stem would be deleted by a repair before Preaspiration could apply to the suffixed form at Stratum 3. However, Vowel lengthening before *-s*, Spirantization before *s*, and Preaspiration apply to inflected forms at Stratum 2, to feed definite suffixation at Stratum 3 (46).

- (46) a. Vowel lengthening before *-s* (Stratum 2)  
 laksins [la:ksɪns] ‘bedsheet (def.gen.sg.)’ (APK)  
 (laks (lak+s) [la:ks] ‘gen.sg.’ + -ins ‘def.gen.sg.’)  
 (compare lagsins [laksɪns] ‘layer (def.gen.sg.)’ (APK)  
 (lags (lag+s) [laks] ‘gen.sg.’ + ’ + -ins ‘def.gen.sg.’)
- b. Spirantization before *s* (Stratum 2)  
 laksins [laxsɪns] ‘bedsheet (def.gen.sg.)’ (APK)  
 (laks [laxs] (lak+s) + ins)
- c. Preaspiration (Stratum 2)  
 gatnanna [kahtnana] ‘street (def.gen.pl.)’  
 (gatna [kahtna] ‘gen.pl.’ (gat+-na ‘gen.pl.f.’); + -nna  
 ‘def.gen.pl.’; gata [ka:t<sup>h</sup>a] ‘street’)

Since these processes apply independently of the constraint, which is at Stratum 3, the constraint is not needed to trigger them and is therefore superfluous. If, however, final consonant extrametricality applies throughout the derivation, it will protect the final *p* of *skáp* from deaspiration, and the constraint is then functional in the grammar.

## 8. Conclusion

I have reviewed several phonological processes in Icelandic that collectively function to avoid the structure in (1), thereby fitting the definition of a conspiracy. Optimality Theoretic analyses encounter problems in accounting for irregular vowel lengthening in the genitive singular. In an analysis using stratum-ordered rule application, a formal constraint may function to trigger the processes seen as repairs. If so, then this functionally unified set of processes is formalizable as a unitary linguistic phenomenon.

## Notes

APK = Ari Páll Kristinsson  
 ER = Eiríkur Rögnvaldsson  
 KMJ = Kristín M. Jóhannsdóttir

## References

- Árnason, Kristján. 1980. Ritdómur um *Drög að hljóðkerfisfræði* eftir Magnús Pétursson. *Íslenskt mál og almenn málfraði* 2: 229-239.
- Benua, Laura. 1995. "Identity Effects in Morphological Truncation." In Beckman, J., Dickey, L., & Urbanczyk, S. (eds.) *University of Massachusetts Occasional Papers in Linguistics 18: Papers in Optimality Theory*. Amherst, Mass: GLSA, 77-136.
- Benua, Laura. 1997. *Transderivational Identity: Phonological Relations between Words*. PhD dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Bérkov, V.P. and Árni Böðvarsson. 1962. *Íslensk-Rússnesk Orðabók*. Moscow.
- Calabrese, Andrea. 2005. *Markedness and Economy in a Derivational Model of Phonology*. Mouton de Gruyter, New York.
- Coetzee, Andries W. 2006. Variation as accessing 'non-optimal' candidates. *Phonology* 23: 3, 337-385.
- Côté, Marie-Hélène. 2004. On Perception and Sonority in Cluster Simplification: Consonant Deletion in Icelandic Preterites. *Journal of Germanic Linguistics* 16:3, 203-243.
- Einarsson, Stefán. 1945. *Icelandic: Grammar, Texts, Glossary*. The John Hopkins Press, Baltimore.
- Gibson, Courtenay St. John. 1997. *Icelandic Phonology in Optimality Theory*. PhD dissertation, University of Iowa.
- Gíslason, Indriði and Höskuldur Þráinsson. 2000. *Handbók um Íslenskan Framburð*. Rannsóknarstofnun Kennaraháskóla Íslands, Reykjavík.
- Indriðason, Þorsteinn G. 1994. *Regluvirkni í orðasafni og utan þess: Um lexikalska hljóðkerfisfræði íslensku*. Málvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands, Reykjavík.
- Kiparsky, Paul. 1973. Abstractness, Opacity, and Global Rules. In A. Koutsoudas, ed., *The Application and Ordering of Grammatical Rules*, Mouton, The Hague.
- Kisseberth. 1970. On the Functional Unity of Phonological Rules. *Linguistic Inquiry* 1:3, 291-306.
- Kristinsson, Ari Páll. 1982. *Hljóðkerfisgreining gómhljóða í íslensku*. Ritgerð til B.A.-prófs. Heimskedeild Háskóla Íslands, Reykjavík.
- McCarthy, John J. 2002. *A Thematic Guide to Optimality Theory*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Pater, Joe. 2006. "The Locus of Exceptionality: Morpheme-Specific Phonology as Constraint Indexation," in L. Bateman et al (eds), *University of Massachusetts Occasional Papers in Linguistics 32: Papers in Optimality Theory III*. Amherst, Mass: GLSA.
- Ringen, Catherine O. 1999. Aspiration, Preaspiration, Despiration, Sonorant Devoicing and Spirantization in Icelandic. *Nordic Journal of Linguistics* 22, 137-156.
- Rögnvaldsson, Eiríkur. 1984. Hvað og hvar er aðblástur? (unpublished ms.)
- Rögnvaldsson, Eiríkur. 1986. *Íslensk hljóðkerfisfræði*. Málvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands, Reykjavík.
- Þráinsson, Höskuldur. 1978. On the Phonology of Icelandic Preaspiration. *Nordic Journal of Linguistics* 1, 3-54.