

## Indexation to stems and words predicts long-distance morphophonological effects

Bronwyn Bjorkman (Queen's University) and Peter Jurgec (University of Toronto)

Phonology is frequently sensitive to properties of the morphemes to which an operation or constraint applies. In Optimality Theory (OT), one way this has been accounted for is by allowing constraints to be *indexed* to certain classes of words, e.g. to roots (McCarthy and Prince 1993), loanwords (Itô and Mester 1995, 2001), nouns (Smith 2001, 2006), specific lexical items (Pater 2000; Becker et al. 2011), or exceptional suffixes (Pater 2007, 2009).

An key observation in this work has been that morphological sensitivity is *local*: the presence of an exceptional affix in a word does not cause all other affixes to behave exceptionally. Pater (2007, 2009) thus explicitly limits the reach of indexed constraints, so that the locus of violation of an indexed constraint must be part of the exceptional morpheme itself.

The locality of indexed constraint evaluation is challenged, however, by the existence of clearly non-local patterns, described in recent work by Jurgec (2014), and Gouskova and Linzen (2015). They describe cases in which an exceptional property of a root is *suppressed* in certain morphological contexts. The profile of such cases can be seen in the Tagalog data in (1): *f* is permitted in bare loanword roots, but not in prefixed or suffixed words, where the segment is nativized to *p*.

(1) Tagalog morphologically derived environment effects:  $f \rightarrow p$  (Zuraw 2006; Jurgec 2014)

BARE ROOT	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 2px;">f</span>	PREFIXED	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 2px;">p</span>	SUFFIXED	<span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 2px;">p</span>
<u>f</u> ilipino	'Filipino'	mag- <u>p</u> ilipino	'language'	<u>p</u> ilipino- <u>ŋ</u>	'DEF'
<u>f</u> iesta	'feast'	pam- <u>p</u> ista	'INSTR'	<u>p</u> ista-han	'festival'

We propose that such apparently non-local effects can be captured in terms of local constraint evaluation, but only if we allow constraints to be indexed not only to individual morphemes, but also to complex morphological constituents such as stems and morphological words. We share with many others the view that indexed constraints can be sensitive to both morpheme type (e.g. root, affix) and to arbitrary lexical specification (McCarthy and Prince 1993; Itô and Mester 1995, 2001; Beckman 1998; Pater 2000; Flack 2007; Gouskova 2007; Jurgec 2010).

Our extension is that indexed constraints must further be specified for the morphological domain to which they apply, whether this is a single morpheme or a constituent consisting of a root plus zero or more affixes (i.e. a stem or word). Assuming that morphosyntactic notions of headedness are not visible within the phonological component, however, we propose that if a constraint indexed to stems or words is further restricted to some arbitrary class *L*, it will apply only if all morphemes in the stem or word are equally specified as *L*. This extension predicts that marked structures can be preserved in stems or words that contain a single morpheme (i.e. a root belonging to an indexed class), but not in stems or words that are complex (i.e. containing at least one non-exceptional affix). This prediction is borne out in long-distance morphologically derived environment effects found in many languages, including Tagalog, Dutch, and Slovenian.

Beyond the restriction to local evaluation, indexation has also been argued to be possible only for faithfulness constraints (Itô and Mester 1995, 1999; Inkelas and Zoll 2007), preventing markedness constraints from being indexed. While this prediction seems true in the domain of loanword nativization, we suggest that other cases of long-distance morphologically derived environment effects can be accounted for only if we admit indexed markedness constraints, specifically illustrating with a case of variable vowel retention in Russian, discussed in Gouskova and Linzen (2015).

Overall, morphologically derived environment effects constitute an unusual case of non-local interactions arising from the interplay of exceptional phonological patterns and morphological structure of words. These patterns have constituted a serious challenge for theories of locality and exceptionality in phonology, but we show that a simple extension of lexical indexation to refer to morphological domains can successfully account for them.

## References

- Becker, Michael, Nihan Ketrez, and Andrew Nevins. 2011. The surfeit of the stimulus: Analytic biases filter lexical statistics in Turkish devoicing neutralization. *Language* 87:84–125.
- Beckman, Jill N. 1998. Positional Faithfulness. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 234, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Flack, Kathryn. 2007. Templatic morphology and indexed markedness constraints. *Linguistic Inquiry* 38:749–758.
- Gouskova, Maria. 2007. The reduplicative template in Tonkawa. *Phonology* 24:367–396.
- Gouskova, Maria, and Tal Linzen. 2015. Morphological conditioning of phonological regularization. *The Linguistic Review* 32:427–473.
- Inkelas, Sharon, and Cheryl Zoll. 2007. Is grammar dependence real? A comparison between cophological and indexed constraint approaches to morphologically conditioned phonology. *Linguistics* 45:133–172.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 1995. The core-periphery structure of the lexicon and constraints on reranking. In *University of Massachusetts occasional papers in linguistics 18*, ed. Jill Beckman, Suzanne Urbanczyk, and Laura Walsh, 181–209. Amherst: GLSA, University of Massachusetts.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 1999. The phonological lexicon. In *The handbook of Japanese linguistics*, ed. Natsuko Tsujimura, 62–100. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 2001. Covert generalizations in Optimality Theory: the role of stratal faithfulness constraints. *Studies in Phonetics, Phonology, and Morphology* 7:273–299.
- Jurjec, Peter. 2010. Disjunctive lexical stratification. *Linguistic Inquiry* 41:149–161.
- Jurjec, Peter. 2014. Morphology affects loanword phonology. In *Proceedings of NELS 43*, ed. Hsin-Lun Huang, Ethan Poole, and Amanda Rysling, volume I, 191–202. Amherst, MA: GLSA.
- McCarthy, John J., and Alan Prince. 1993. Prosodic Morphology I. Ms., University of Massachusetts, Amherst and Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 482, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Pater, Joe. 2000. Non-uniformity in English secondary stress: the role of ranked and lexically specific constraints. *Phonology* 17:237–274.
- Pater, Joe. 2007. The locus of exceptionality: Morpheme-specific phonology as constraint indexation. In *University of Massachusetts occasional papers in linguistics 32: Papers in Optimality Theory III*, ed. Leah Bateman, Michael O’Keefe, Ehren Reilly, and Adam Werle, 259–296. Amherst: GLSA, University of Massachusetts. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 866, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Pater, Joe. 2009. Morpheme-specific phonology: constraint indexation and inconsistency resolution. In *Phonological argumentation: essays on evidence and motivation*, ed. Steve Parker, 123–154. London: Equinox. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 906, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.

- Smith, Jennifer L. 2001. Lexical category and phonological contrast. In *Petl 6: Proceedings of the workshop on the lexicon in phonetics and phonology*, ed. R. Kirchner, J. Pater, and W. Wikely, 61–72. University of Alberta. URL Available from Rutgers Optimality Archive, # 728.
- Smith, Jennifer L. 2006. Loan phonology is not all perception: evidence from Japanese loan doublets. *Japanese/Korean linguistics* 14:46–74. URL <http://www.unc.edu/~jlsmith/home/pdf/jk14.pdf>.
- Zuraw, Kie. 2006. Using the web as a phonological corpus: a case study from Tagalog. In *EACL-2006: Proceedings of the 11th conference of the european chapter of the association for computational linguistics/proceedings of the 2nd international workshop on web as corpus*, 59–66. Trento.